arXiv:1612.06256v1 [math.OA] 19 Dec 2016

Triviality of Equivariant Maps in Crossed Products and Matrix Algebras

Benjamin Passer*
Technion-Israel Institute of Technology
Haifa, Israel

benjaminpas@tx.technion.ac.il

December 20, 2016

Abstract

We show that for certain unital C^*-algebras A with free actions of a finite cyclic group G, equivariant self-maps of A are path connected to finite-dimensional representations, including one-dimensional representations, within the homomorphisms from A to certain crossed products $A \rtimes G$. Therefore, our previously proposed extensions of Baum-Dąbrowski-Hajac noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam theory, in which certain crossed products replace tensor products in their definitions, do not apply universally. We examine the consequences of this claim for extending contractibility (in the sense of Dąbrowski-Hajac-Neshveyev) "modulo torsion" by embedding A into matrix algebras over A.

Keywords: Borsuk-Ulam, twisted join, twisted suspension, free actions, torsion

MSC2010: 46L85

1 Introduction

If X and Y are compact Hausdorff spaces which admit free actions of a nontrivial finite group G, then continuous, equivariant maps from X to Y are severely restricted by dimension and homotopy invariants. Such claims are rooted in the Borsuk-Ulam theorem, which concerns spheres $\mathbb{S}^k$ and the free $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ action $x \mapsto -x$. In this setting, an equivariant map f is just an *odd* function, that is, $f(-x) = -f(x)$.

Theorem 1.1 (Borsuk-Ulam Theorem)**.** If n is a positive integer, then the following hold.

1. Every continuous function from $\mathbb{S}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ admits at least one pair of opposite points x and $-x$ with the same image.
2. Every continuous, odd function from $\mathbb{S}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ has a zero.
3. There is no continuous, odd function from $\mathbb{S}^n$ to $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.
4. Every continuous, odd function from $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ to $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is homotopically nontrivial.

*Partially supported by a Zuckerman Fellowship at the Technion, the Simons Foundation grant 346300, and the Polish Government MNiSW 2015-2019 matching fund. This work is part of a project sponsored by EU grant H2020-MSCA-RISE-2015-691246-QUANTUM DYNAMICS.

5. Every continuous, odd function from $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ to $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ has odd degree.

Items 1-4 above are equivalent, and they follow from the stronger item 5. Generalizations and consequences of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem abound in combinatorics and algebraic topology, in which more general spaces, groups, and actions replace spheres, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and the antipodal action. More recently, significant progress has been made in generalizing these results to noncommutative topology, opening up many new potential problems. The reason for this is twofold: first, the behavior of noncommutative C^*-algebras can be quite different than the picture painted by compact Hausdorff topology, and second, this setting allows discussion of compact *quantum* group actions in addition to actions of traditional compact groups. The aim of such results ([20], [19], [1], [17], [4], [15], [16] [3], [6]) is to restrict the existence or homotopy properties of equivariant homomorphisms between unital C^*-algebras. The noncommutative join constructions in [5] play a major role in this story.

Definition 1.2 ([5])**.** Let A and B be unital C^*-algebras. Then the *noncommutative join* of A and B is

$$A \circledast B = \{f \in C([0,1], A \otimes_{\min} B) : f(0) \in \mathbb{C} \otimes B, f(1) \in A \otimes \mathbb{C}\}$$

If (H, Δ) is a compact quantum group and $\delta : A \to A \otimes H$ is a free coaction of H on A, then the *equivariant noncommutative join* of A and H is

$$A \circledast_\delta H = \{f \in C([0,1], A \otimes_{\min} H) : f(0) \in \mathbb{C} \otimes H, f(1) \in \delta(A)\}$$

Further, $A \circledast_\delta H$ admits a free coaction δ_Δ, which applies $\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta$ pointwise on $A \otimes_{\min} H$.

In the above definition, freeness is meant in the sense of [8] (see also [2]). When H is equal to $C(G)$ for a compact group G, the two joins $A \circledast C(G)$ and $A \circledast_\delta C(G)$ are isomorphic, and if $A \circledast C(G)$ is given the diagonal action of G, the natural choice of isomorphism is equivariant for the diagonal action and δ_Δ. That is, the equivariant join is needed precisely when the quantum group H is not commutative, to avoid applying a diagonal action. Further, the iterated join $\circledast_{i=1}^{n} C(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$, and the induced diagonal action (at each additional join) is just the antipodal action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on $C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$. The conjectures of Baum, Dąbrowski, and Hajac in [1] therefore directly generalize the Borsuk-Ulam theorem.

Conjecture 1.3 ([1], Conjecture 2.3)**.** Let A be a unital C^*-algebra with a free action δ of a nontrivial compact quantum group (H, Δ). Also, let $A \circledast_\delta H$ denote the equivariant noncommutative join of A and H, with the induced action of (H, Δ) given by δ_Δ. Then both of the following hold.

1. There does not exist a (δ, δ_Δ)-equivariant $*$-homomorphism from A to $A \circledast_\delta H$.
2. There does not exist a (Δ, δ_Δ)-equivariant $*$-homomorphism from H to $A \circledast_\delta H$.

Remark. When $H = C(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, $A \circledast_\delta C(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is equivariantly isomorphic to the *unreduced suspension* of A, ΣA, of [4]. More specifically, ΣA is the (non-equivariant) noncommutative join $A \circledast C(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and the action presented in [4] is the diagonal action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Conjecture 1.3 type 1 was shown to hold when H is a compact group with nontrivial torsion elements ([15]), when H is any nontrivial compact group ([3]), then most recently when H is any compact quantum group with a character other than a counit ([6]). Conjecture 2 is false ([3]); however, there are certain key examples for which the conjecture holds ([10], [1]) and it has been recently reformulated to avoid known counterexamples ([6]). On the other hand, we proposed in [15] a different type of join (and similarly, unreduced suspension) of C^*-algebras with free actions of $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$. We adopt new terminology and notation to avoid confusion with Definition 1.2.

Definition 1.4 ([15], Modified)**.** Let A be a unital C^*-algebra and suppose β is an action of $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ on A. Then the *β-twisted join* of A and $C^*(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \cong C(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ is

$$J(A, \beta) = \{f \in C([0,1], A \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) : f(0) \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}), f(1) \in A\}$$

Let $\delta \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ denote the element corresponding to the standard generator of $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$. If α is an action of $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ on A which commutes with β, then let $\alpha\widehat{\beta}$ denote the action on $A \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$(\alpha\widehat{\beta})_m : a_0 + a_1\delta + a_2\delta^2 + \ldots + a_{n-1}\delta^{n-1} \mapsto a_0 + e^{2\pi im/k}a_1\delta + \ldots + a_{n-1}e^{2\pi i(n-1)/k}a_{n-1}\delta^{n-1},$$

and define $\widetilde{\alpha}$ on $J(A,\beta)$ through pointwise application of $\alpha\widehat{\beta}$.

When β is the trivial action, $J(A,\beta)$ is just $A \circledast C(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ with the diagonal action of $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$, where we have identified $C(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ with $C^*(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{C} \rtimes_{\text{triv}} \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ and identified the dual action on $\mathbb{C} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ with the multiplication action of $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ on $C(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore, if α is free and β is trivial, there are no $(\alpha, \widetilde{\alpha})$-equivariant unital $*$-homomorphisms from A to $J(A,\beta)$, as seen in [15]. It is convenient to use *saturation* of an action ([11], [9]) in place of freeness, as a more transparent, equivalent condition (see [2] for a more general setting in which freeness and saturation are equivalent).

Definition 1.5 ([18], Definition 5.9 and Theorem 5.10)**.** If G is a finite abelian group and α is an action of G on a unital C^*-algebra A, then α is called *saturated* if for each $\tau \in \widehat{G}$, the spectral subspace

$$A_\tau = \{a \in A : \alpha_g(a) = \tau(g)a \text{ for all } g \in G\}$$

satisfies $\overline{A_\tau A A_\tau^*} = A$.

To extend nonexistence results about equivariant maps $A \to J(A,\beta)$ to cases where β is non-trivial, we know from [15] that some assumption on β, or the equivariant map in question, is still necessary. Here we consider two assumptions that insist β is not too far removed from the trivial action. The first condition was proposed in [15].

Question 1.6. Suppose α and β are commuting actions of $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ on a unital C^*-algebra A, and α is saturated. Consider the following conditions on β.

1. The action β is not saturated.
2. The individual automorphisms of β are connected within $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$ to the trivial automorphism.

Is either condition sufficient to guarantee that there are no $(\alpha, \widetilde{\alpha})$-equivariant, unital $*$-homomorphisms from A to $J(A,\beta)$?

Odd-dimensional theta-deformed spheres meet condition 1 and/or 2 for certain rotation actions, and in these cases equivariant homomorphisms from A to $J(A,\beta)$ do not exist ([13], [14], [16]). In section 2 we consider more restrictive assumptions that guarantee nonexistence of equivariant maps from A to $J(A,\beta)$. However, neither condition of Question 1.6 is actually sufficient in general, as shown in Theorems 3.1 and 3.4. Finally, in the remainder of section 3 we apply the usual embedding $A \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow M_k(A)$ to see consequences of the aforementioned results for deforming the diagonal inclusion $A \hookrightarrow M_k(A)$ to one-dimensional representations.

2 Nonexistence

The β-twisted joins $J(A,\beta)$ differ from usual joins and suspensions in that a homomorphism between two unital C^*-algebras does not automatically produce a homomorphism between their joins, without additional equivariance requirements. When the group $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ acting on a C^*-algebra A is understood, we identify an action β with its generating automorphism. Further, we still refer to the action

$$a_0 + a_1\delta + \ldots + a_{n-1}\delta^{n-1} \in A \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \mapsto \beta(a_0) + \beta(a_1)\delta + \ldots + \beta(a_{n-1})\delta^{n-1}$$

as β, as it is induced by β on the copy $A \hookrightarrow A \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ and has no interaction with the group element. The same applies to the induced action on $J(A,\beta)$, which is defined pointwise.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose β_A and β_B are actions of $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ on unital C^*-algebras A and B, respectively. If $\phi : A \to B$ is a (β_A, β_B)-equivariant, unital $*$-homomorphism, then the rule

$$a_0 + a_1\delta + \ldots + a_{n-1}\delta^{n-1} \mapsto \phi(a_0) + \phi(a_1)\delta + \ldots + \phi(a_{n-1})\delta^{n-1}$$

produces a homomorphism $A \rtimes_{\beta_A} \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \mapsto B \rtimes_{\beta_B} \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$, which through pointwise application provides a homomorphism $J_\phi : J(A, \beta_A) \to J(B, \beta_B)$. The homomorphism J_ϕ is (β_A, β_B)-equivariant, and if in addition α_A and α_B are $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ actions on A and B which commute with β_A and β_B, respectively, and ϕ is (α_A, α_B)-equivariant, then J_ϕ is $(\widetilde{\alpha_A}, \widetilde{\alpha_B})$-equivariant.

Proof. The function ψ defined by

$$\psi : a_0 + a_1\delta + \ldots + a_{n-1}\delta^{n-1} \in A \rtimes_{\beta_A} \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \mapsto \phi(a_0) + \phi(a_1)\delta + \ldots + \phi(a_{n-1})\delta^{n-1} \in B \rtimes_{\beta_B} \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$$

is a unital $*$-homomorphism by the universal property of crossed products. The associated homomorphism

$$\mathrm{id} \otimes \psi : C([0,1]) \otimes A \rtimes_{\beta_A} \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \mapsto C([0,1]) \otimes B \rtimes_{\beta_B} \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$$

respects the boundary conditions of the join, as $\psi(C^*(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})) = C^*(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ and $\psi(A) \subset B$. Therefore, it induces a homomorphism $J_\phi : J(A, \beta_A) \to J(B, \beta_B)$, which is pointwise (β_A, β_B)-equivariant by design. Finally, the actions $\widetilde{\alpha_A}$ and $\widetilde{\alpha_B}$ are pointwise applications of $\alpha_A\widehat{\beta_A}$ and $\alpha_B\widehat{\beta_B}$, so

$$\alpha_B\widehat{\beta_B}(\phi(a_0) + \phi(a_1)\delta + \ldots + \phi(a_{n-1})\delta^{n-1}) =$$

$$\alpha_B(\phi(a_0)) + \alpha_B(\phi(a_1))e^{2\pi i/k}\delta + \ldots + \alpha_B(\phi(a_{n-1}))e^{2\pi i(n-1)/k}\delta^{n-1} =$$

$$\phi(\alpha_A(a_0)) + \phi(\alpha_A(a_1))e^{2\pi i/k}\delta + \ldots + \phi(\alpha_A(a_{n-1}))e^{2\pi i(n-1)/k}\delta^{n-1} =$$

$$\phi(\alpha_A(a_0) + \alpha_A(a_1)e^{2\pi i/k}\delta + \ldots + \alpha_A(a_{n-1})e^{2\pi i(n-1)/k}\delta^{n-1}) =$$

$$\phi(\alpha_A\widehat{\beta_A}(a_0 + a_1\delta + \ldots + a_{n-1}\delta^{n-1}))$$

establishes that J_ϕ is $(\widetilde{\alpha_A}, \widetilde{\alpha_B})$-equivariant. □

Because the existence of join homomorphisms J_ϕ is restricted by additional equivariance requirements, the iteration techniques of [15] are limited in the same way if applied in this context.

Proposition 2.2. Suppose A is a unital C^*-algebra with two commuting $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ actions α and β, where α is saturated. Then there is no unital $*$-homomorphism $\phi : A \to J(A, \beta)$ which is both $(\alpha, \widetilde{\alpha})$-equivariant and (β, β)-equivariant.

Proof. Denote $\phi_0 := \phi$, $A_0 := A$, $\alpha_0 := \alpha$, $\beta_0 := \beta$, and $A_1 := J(A, \beta_0)$. Further, let the pointwise action of β_0 on A_1 be denoted β_1, and similarly let $\alpha_1 := \widetilde{\alpha_0}$, so that $\phi_0 : A_0 \to A_1$ is (α_0, α_1)-equivariant and (β_0, β_1)-equivariant. Lemma 2.1 produces a homomorphism $\phi_1 : A_1 \to A_2 := J(A_1, \beta_1)$; if we let β_2 be pointwise application of β_1 and let $\alpha_2 = \widetilde{\alpha_1}$, then ϕ_1 is (β_1, β_2)-equivariant and (α_1, α_2)-equivariant. This procedure may be iterated and such maps composed in a chain, giving (α_0, α_m)-equivariant and (β_0, β_m)-equivariant maps ψ_m from A_0 to iterated joins A_m for each m.

Next, let γ denote the action on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ induced by $\delta \mapsto e^{2\pi i/k}\delta$, i.e., the dual action on the trivial crossed product $\mathbb{C} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$. The equivariance properties of $\phi_0 : A_0 \to A_1 = J(A, \beta_0)$ show that $\psi_0 = \mathrm{ev}_{t=0} \circ \phi_0 : A_0 \to C^*(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ is (α_0, γ)-equivariant and (β_0, triv)-equivariant. Applying Lemma 2.1 once more, the induced homomorphism $\psi_1 : A_1 \to J(C^*(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}), \mathrm{triv})$ is $(\alpha_1, \widetilde{\gamma})$-equivariant and (β_1, triv)-equivariant. Now, the non-twisted join of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \cong C(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ with itself is just the commutative C^*-algebra of continuous functions on the topological join $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$, or equivalently, $C(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \circledast C(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$, and the induced action $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is the diagonal action of $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$. Iterating this procedure produces maps ρ_m from $A_m \to J(\cdots J(C^*(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}), \mathrm{triv}) \cdots \mathrm{triv}) \cong \circledast_{i=1}^{k+1} C(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$, which are (β_m, triv)-equivariant and $(\alpha_m, \mathrm{diag})$-equivariant.

Finally, $\rho_m \circ \psi_m : A_0 \to \circledast_{i=1}^{m+1} C(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ is $(\alpha_0, \mathrm{diag})$-equivariant. Because α_0 is saturated, the ideal generated by the spectral subspace for the $e^{2\pi i/k}$ eigenvalue is A_0. As seen in [15], Theorem 2.8 as a consequence of the main theorem in [7] (see [12], Definition 4.3.1 and Proposition 4.4.3), the number of eigenfunctions required to approximate the unit in $\circledast_{i=1}^{m+1} C(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ grows without bound as m increases, so this is a contradiction. □

In Proposition 2.2, it was not necessary to assume any additional properties about the action β used to twist the join, but note that if β is saturated, it is immediate that no (β, β)-equivariant maps from A to $J(A, \beta)$ exist (regardless of α). Namely, if such a map existed, the pointwise action of β on $J(A, \beta)$ would be saturated, but evaluation at $t = 0$ gives that β induces the trivial action on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$, so any $e^{2\pi i/k}$ eigenfunction must vanish at $t = 0$. Therefore, Proposition 2.2 may be safely viewed inside the framework of Question 1.6. The following result concerns the commutative setting $A = C(X)$, and in particular when the fixed point set of the non-free action β is an equivariant retract of X.

Proposition 2.3. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ be prime, and let X be a compact Hausdorff space with two commuting $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ actions α and β, where α is free and β is not. Let $Y = \mathrm{Fix}(\beta) \neq \varnothing$ be equipped with the restricted action $\gamma = \alpha|_Y$, and suppose there is an (α, γ)-equivariant continuous function $f : X \to Y$. Then there is no unital, $(\alpha, \widetilde{\alpha})$-equivariant $*$-homomorphism from $C(X)$ to $J(C(X), \beta)$.

Proof. Suppose $\phi : C(X) \to J(C(X), \beta)$ is $(\alpha, \widetilde{\alpha})$-equivariant. The dual map $f^* : C(Y) \to C(X)$ to $f : X \to Y$ is (γ, α)-equivariant, and the restriction $C(X) \to C(Y)$ is certainly (α, γ)-equivariant and (β, triv)-equivariant, so it may be applied pointwise on the twisted joins by Lemma 2.1. This gives

$$C(Y) \xrightarrow{f^*} C(X) \xrightarrow{\phi} J(C(X), \beta) \xrightarrow{\text{pointwise } |_Y} J(C(Y), \mathrm{triv}) \cong C(Y) \circledast C(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}).$$

The composition is equivariant for γ and the diagonal action, contradicting [15], Theorem 2.4 (and the comments after [15], Example 3.7 for the case when $k > 2$). □

In a similar vein, if a space X is disconnected, and a free $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ action α separates its components, equivariant maps to non-free twisted joins cannot exist. This may be stated in more general terms as the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. Suppose A is a unital C^*-algebra with two commuting $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ actions α and β. Suppose there is a self-adjoint unitary x in the -1 eigenspace of α. Further, suppose that the ideal I generated by the -1 eigenspace of β is proper, and that the $K_0(A/I)$-class of the unit 1 is not divisible by 2. Then there are no $(\alpha, \widetilde{\alpha})$-equivariant maps from A to $J(A, \beta)$.

Proof. If $\phi : A \to J(A, \beta)$ is $(\alpha, \widetilde{\alpha})$-equivariant, then $\mathrm{ev}_{t=0}(\phi(x))$ is a self-adjoint unitary in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ which is in the -1 eigenspace of the action $\delta \mapsto -\delta$. That is, $\mathrm{ev}_{t=0}(\phi(x)) = \pm\delta$. Embed the crossed product $A \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ into $M_2(A)$ via

$$E : a_0 + a_1\delta \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 \\ \beta(a_1) & \beta(a_0) \end{pmatrix},$$

and consider the path $P_t = \frac{1}{2}I + \frac{1}{2}E(\mathrm{ev}_t(\phi(x)))$ of projections in $M_2(A)$. Now, P_0 is a rank 1 projection over $\mathbb{C}$, which may be connected within the projections to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and P_1 is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} + a & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} + \beta(a) \end{pmatrix}$. Take the quotient of A by the ideal I generated by the -1 eigenspace of β. The resulting path in $M_2(A/I)$ connects $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ to a projection in a direct sum form $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} + b & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} + b \end{pmatrix}$, so the $K_0(A/I)$-class of the unit is divisible by 2. □

Remark. The assumptions imply that α is saturated and β is not saturated. Moreover, if $A = C(X)$ is commutative, the free $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ action α is such that for any $x \in X$, x and $\alpha(x)$ lie in different connected components of X. Further, since $\mathrm{Fix}(\beta) \neq \varnothing$, evaluating the rank of projections at a single point of $\mathrm{Fix}(\beta)$ shows that 1 is indivisible in $K_0(C(\mathrm{Fix}(\beta)))$, so an explicit K_0 assumption is not necessary in the commutative case.

The nonexistence results in this section have fairly strong hypotheses, typically so that we may reduce to the case of non-twisted joins. In the next section, we find that neither assumption in Question 1.6 is sufficient to guarantee that equivariant maps $A \to J(A, \beta)$ do not exist.

3 Existence

Consider the following assumptions of Question 1.6 for a $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ action β on a unital C^*-algebra A.

1. The action β is not saturated.
2. The individual automorphisms of β are connected within $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$ to the trivial automorphism.

Both assumptions assert that β is in some sense similar to the trivial action. They are motivated by the existence and nonexistence results of [15] and [16]. If $\alpha = \beta$ is the antipodal map on $A = C(\mathbb{S}^0)$, then α is saturated and there is an equivariant map $A \to J(A, \alpha)$, whose existence is guaranteed by exploiting anticommutation relations. However, it is known from [17] and [16] that a similar trick does not work for the antipodal map on odd-dimensional spheres: there are no $(\alpha, \widetilde{\alpha})$-equivariant maps from a θ-deformed sphere $C(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}_\theta)$ to $J(C(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}_\theta), \alpha)$, and in this case the antipodal action α, while saturated, is connected within $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$ to the trivial automorphism. Therefore, no counterexamples $A \to J(A, \beta)$ satisfied either condition 1 or condition 2, and known nonexistence results (for β nontrivial) were in the setting of condition 2. However, we find that neither condition is sufficient in general to rule out equivariant maps $A \to J(A, \beta)$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $A = C(\mathbb{S}^1)$, equipped with the commuting $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ actions α and β, where α is induced by the antipodal map $z \mapsto -z$ and β is induced by complex conjugation $z \mapsto z^*$, so that α is saturated and β is not saturated. There is an $(\alpha, \widetilde{\alpha})$-equivariant, unital $*$-homomorphism from A to $J(A, \beta)$, so Question 1.6, condition 1 is insufficient.

Proof. Let $A = C(\mathbb{S}^1)$ be given the presentation $C^*(x, y \,|\, x = x^*, y = y^*, xy = yx, x^2 + y^2 = 1)$, so that β fixes x but negates y. Then the points $a_t, b_t \in A \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$a_t = tx \qquad\qquad b_t = ty + \sqrt{1 - t^2}\delta$$

are self-adjoint, commute, and satisfy

$$a_t^2 + b_t^2 = t^2x^2 + t^2y^2 + t\sqrt{1 - t^2}y\delta + t\sqrt{1 - t^2}\delta y + (1 - t^2)\delta^2 = t^2(x^2 + y^2) + 1 - t^2 = 1,$$

so there are homomorphisms $\phi_t : A \to A \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ defined by $\phi_t(x) = a_t$ and $\phi_t(y) = b_t$. It follows easily that $\phi_t(p(x, y))$ varies continuously in t for any polynomial expression in x and y, and as all C^*-homomorphisms are contractions, norm estimates show that $\phi_t(w)$ varies continuously for any $w \in A$. Since $a_0, b_0 \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and $a_1, b_1 \in A$, the boundary conditions of the twisted join are satisfied, so it follows that $\phi_t : A \to A \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ may be used to produce $\phi : A \to J(A, \beta)$. Finally, ϕ is $(\alpha, \widetilde{\alpha})$-equivariant, as the generators and images are in the -1 eigenspaces of the appropriate actions. □

Consider the C^*-algebra A with presentation $C^*(x, y \,|\, x = x^*, y = y^*, x^2 + y^2 = 1)$, so that A surjects onto $C(\mathbb{S}^1)$ but is itself noncommutative. There is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ action on A generated by

$$x \mapsto -x \qquad\qquad y \mapsto -y,$$

which is connected within $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$ to the trivial automorphism. Namely, if $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s^2 + t^2 = 1$, then

$$x \mapsto sx + ty \qquad\qquad y \mapsto -tx + sy \tag{3.2}$$

defines a map in $\mathrm{Hom}(A, A)$, as the images of x and y are self-adjoint and

$$(sx+ty)^2+(-tx+sy)^2 = s^2x^2+stxy+styx+t^2y^2+t^2x^2-stxy-styx+s^2y^2 = (s^2+t^2)(x^2+y^2) = 1.$$

The inverse is given by

$$x \mapsto sx - ty \qquad\qquad y \mapsto tx + sy, \tag{3.3}$$

as seen from the matrix inverse $\begin{pmatrix} s & t \\ -t & s \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} s & -t \\ t & s \end{pmatrix}$ that determines the images of the generators x and y.

Theorem 3.4. Let $A = C^*(x, y \mid x = x^*, y = y^*, x^2 + y^2 = 1)$ and consider the action $\alpha = \beta$ which sends $x \mapsto -x$ and $y \mapsto -y$. There is an $(\alpha, \widetilde{\alpha})$-equivariant, unital $*$-homomorphism from A to $J(A, \beta)$, so Question 1.6, condition 2 is insufficient.

Proof. Define $a_t, b_t \in A \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ as follows.

$$a_t = tx + \frac{\sqrt{1-t^2}}{\sqrt{2}}\delta \qquad\qquad b_t = ty + \frac{\sqrt{1-t^2}}{\sqrt{2}}\delta$$

Because the group element δ anticommutes with both x and y, it follows that

$$a_t^2 + b_t^2 = t^2x^2 + \frac{1-t^2}{2}\delta^2 + t^2y^2 + \frac{1-t^2}{2}\delta^2 = t^2(x^2+y^2) + 1 - t^2 = 1,$$

and as both a_t and b_t are also self-adjoint, there is a homomorphism $\phi_t : A \to A \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ defined by $\phi_t(x) = a_t$ and $\phi_t(x) = b_t$. Examination of the boundary conditions $\phi_0(A) \subset C^*(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and $\phi_1(A) \subset A$ shows that there is a corresponding homomorphism $\phi : A \to J(A, \beta)$. Finally, ϕ is once again $(\alpha, \widetilde{\alpha})$-equivariant from consideration of the -1 eigenspaces. By (3.2) and (3.3), the automorphism underlying β is connected to the trivial automorphism, so this counterexample meets Question 1.6, condition 2. □

In general, adding relations to, or removing relations from, a C^*-algebra A given by a presentation does not appear to make the existence of $A \to J(A, \beta)$ more or less likely, as any identifications between elements must be reflected in both the domain and codomain. However, in Theorem 3.4, the addition of commutativity relations to A removes all such equivariant maps.

If X is a compact Hausdorff space, then contractibility of X is equivalent to the identity morphism $\mathrm{id} : C(X) \to C(X)$ being path connected to a one-dimensional representation within $\mathrm{Hom}(C(X), C(X))$. As considered in [4] and [6], when a noncommutative A (with one-dimensional representations) replaces $C(X)$, the latter may be taken as the definition of contractibility for the quantum space dual to A, and in special cases, existence of free coactions on A prevents A from being contractible. Here we consider the addition of torsion to this definition, in the form of direct summands in matrix algebras over A.

Definition 3.5. Let A be a unital C^*-algebra A with n-dimensional representations. Then A is called *contractible modulo n* if the embedding

$$a \in A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & & & \\ & a & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & a \end{pmatrix} \in M_n(A) \cong A \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

is path-connected within $\mathrm{Hom}(A, A \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ to an n-dimensional representation, that is, a map in $\mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathbb{C} \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C}))$.

Certainly if A has K-theory invariants which remain nontrivial under the quotient G/nG, then A is not contractible modulo n. This applies when $A = C(\mathbb{S}^k)$ is a sphere, and moreover any antipodally equivariant map $C(\mathbb{S}^k) \to C(\mathbb{S}^k) \hookrightarrow M_n(C(\mathbb{S}^k))$ will not connect to an n-dimensional representation as a consequence of the matrix size conditions in [17] and [16].

Example 3.6. The matrix algebra $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ admits a free $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ action, and $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is contractible modulo n.

Proof. Let $V = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & & & \\ & \omega^2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \omega^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$, where ω is a primitive nth root of unity. Conjugation by V is a free (that is, saturated) $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ action of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, as the matrix W which acts on the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$ by $e_i \mapsto e_{i+1}$ is unitary and in the ω-eigenspace of the action. Further, the embeddings $\mathrm{id} \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes \mathrm{id}$ of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ into $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C}) \cong M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C})$ are conjugate. That is, there is a unitary $U \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C})$ such that for each $M \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $U(M \otimes 1)U^* = 1 \otimes M$. Because the unitary group of $M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C})$ is path connected, the two embeddings are connected via $M \mapsto U_t(M \otimes 1)U_t^*$, where $U_0 = I$, $U_1 = U$, and each U_t is unitary. □

Now, $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ has no one-dimensional representations, and is itself a finite-dimensional C^*-algebra, but we may adapt Theorem 3.4 to produce an example in which the embedding $\mathrm{id} \otimes 1 : A \to A \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$ of an infinite-dimensional C^*-algebra is connected to a one-dimensional representation.

Example 3.7. The C^*-algebra $A = C^*(x, y \mid x = x^*, y = y^*, x^2 + y^2 = 1)$ is contractible modulo 2. In particular, $\mathrm{id} \otimes 1 : A \to A \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ may be connected to a one-dimensional representation.

Proof. It is known from the proof of Theorem 3.4 that if α is the antipodal action $x \mapsto -x$, $y \mapsto -y$, then there is an $(\alpha, \widetilde{\alpha})$-equivariant map $A \to J(A, \alpha)$ whose $t = 1$ evaluation is the standard inclusion $A \hookrightarrow A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Expanding the crossed product $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ via $a_0 + a_1\delta \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 \\ \alpha(a_1) & \alpha(a_0) \end{pmatrix}$ shows that the embedding

$$a \in A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & \alpha(a) \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(A)$$

may be connected to a homomorphism whose image lands in the image of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ under expansion, i.e., a two-dimensional subalgebra of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Both endpoints of the above path must be adjusted to show contractibility modulo 2. First, $\alpha = \alpha_1 \in \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ is connected via $\alpha_t \in \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ to $\alpha_0 = \mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{Aut}(A)$. Therefore

$$a \in A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & \alpha_t(a) \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(A)$$

may be used to connect to the diagonal embedding $A \to M_2(A)$. On the other hand, A maps to a two-dimensional subalgebra of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$, and this subalgebra is isomorphic to $C(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Since $C(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is commutative, and the quotient of A by its center is $C(\mathbb{S}^1)$, there is a factoring of homomorphisms

$$A \to C(\mathbb{S}^1) \to C(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).$$

However, $\mathbb{S}^1$ is path connected, and a homomorhism $C(\mathbb{S}^1) \to C(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is just a selection of two points from $\mathbb{S}^1$. We may therefore connect this homomorphism to a one-dimensional representation. Therefore, composition of paths shows that $\mathrm{id} \otimes 1 : A \to A \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ is connected to a one-dimensional representation. □

Finally, we have seen that the nontriviality of equivariant maps proved in [15] for $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ actions (which has been generalized in [3] and [6] for more general groups and quantum groups) is removed when crossed products replace tensor products, or when a matrix tensor is introduced.

Acknowledgments

I am very grateful to Orr Shalit and Baruch Solel for their support during my current postdoc at Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, and to the organizers of the Simons Semester at IMPAN.

References

[1] Paul F. Baum, Ludwik Dąbrowski, and Piotr M. Hajac. Noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam-type conjectures. *Banach Center Publ.*, 106:9–18, 2015.

[2] Paul F. Baum, Kenny De Commer, and Piotr M. Hajac. Free actions of compact quantum group on unital C^*-algebras. arxiv:1304.2812. 2013.

[3] Alexandru Chirvasitu and Benjamin Passer. Compact group actions on topological and noncommutative joins. arxiv:1604.02173. 2016.

[4] Ludwik Dąbrowski. Towards a noncommutative Brouwer fixed-point theorem. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 105:60–65, 2016.

[5] Ludwik Dąbrowski, Tom Hadfield, and Piotr M. Hajac. Equivariant join and fusion of noncommutative algebras. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 11:Paper 082, 7, 2015.

[6] Ludwik Dąbrowski, Piotr M. Hajac, and Sergey Neshveyev. Noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam-type conjectures revisited. arxiv:1611.04130. 2016.

[7] Albrecht Dold. Simple proofs of some Borsuk-Ulam results. In *Proceedings of the Northwestern Homotopy Theory Conference (Evanston, Ill., 1982)*, volume 19 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 65–69. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983.

[8] David Alexandre Ellwood. A new characterisation of principal actions. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 173(1):49–60, 2000.

[9] Elliot C. Gootman, Aldo J. Lazar, and Costel Peligrad. Spectra for compact group actions. *J. Operator Theory*, 31(2):381–399, 1994.

[10] Piotr M. Hajac and Tomasz Maszczyk. Pulling back noncommutative associated vector bundles and constructing quantum quaternionic projective spaces. arxiv:1601.00021. 2015.

[11] Akitaka Kishimoto. Simple crossed products of C^*-algebras by locally compact abelian groups. *Yokohama Math. J.*, 28(1-2):69–85, 1980.

[12] Jiří Matoušek. *Using the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. Lectures on topological methods in combinatorics and geometry, Written in cooperation with Anders Björner and Günter M. Ziegler.

[13] Kengo Matsumoto. Noncommutative three-dimensional spheres. *Japan. J. Math. (N.S.)*, 17(2):333–356, 1991.

[14] T. Natsume and C. L. Olsen. Toeplitz operators on noncommutative spheres and an index theorem. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 46(4):1055–1112, 1997.

[15] Benjamin Passer. Saturated actions on C^*-algebra suspensions and joins by finite cyclic groups. arxiv:1510.04100. To appear in IUMJ. 2015.

[16] Benjamin Passer. Anticommutation in the presentations of theta-deformed spheres. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 445(1):855–870, 2017.

[17] Benjamin W. Passer. A noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam theorem for Natsume-Olsen spheres. *J. Operator Theory*, 75(2):337–366, 2016.

[18] N. Christopher Phillips. Freeness of actions of finite groups on C^*-algebras. In *Operator structures and dynamical systems*, volume 503 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 217–257. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.

[19] Ali Taghavi. A Banach algebraic approach to the Borsuk-Ulam theorem. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, pages Art. ID 729745, 11, 2012.

[20] Makoto Yamashita. Equivariant comparison of quantum homogeneous spaces. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 317(3):593–614, 2013.